

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/752,646	01/06/2004	Gary Wayne Bagnall	37370-31	4111
24318	7590 05/20/2005		EXAMINER	
Mitchell, Silberberg & Knupp, LLP			GANEY, STEVEN J	
11377 West Olympic Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90064			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>_</i>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comments	10/752,646	BAGNALL, GARY WAYNE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven J. Ganey	3752	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) TI 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final.	·	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,12,14-18,21,22 and 24-26 is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-11,13,19,20 and 23 is/are objected 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration. e rejected. ed to.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the certified copies of the priority documents.	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
		•	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/752,646

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed on February 22, 2005, which has been fully considered in this action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 12, 14-18, 21, 22, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Verschuere et al.

Verschuere et al discloses all the featured elements of the instant invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Verschuere et al.

Verschuere et al discloses all the featured elements of the instant invention, except for the at least one small animal comprising a reptile. It would have been obvious to one having

Art Unit: 3752

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the device of Verschuere et al to spray reptiles since the apparatus is capable of spraying birds, then small reptiles would also be able to fit in the apparatus and be cleaned.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 3-11, 13, 19, 20 and 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lee shows an apparatus for spraying small animals.
- 9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after Application/Control Number: 10/752,646

Art Unit: 3752

Page 4

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Steven J. Ganey whose telephone number is (571) 272-4899.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday from 8:00

AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dave Scherbel, can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for this

Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

sjg

5/16/05

STEVEN J. GANEY PRIMARY EXAMINER

5/16/05